Decoherence (and quantum quench): the relationship with excited state quantum phase transitions

J. E. García-Ramos<sup>1</sup>, J. M. Arias<sup>2</sup>, P. Cejnar<sup>3</sup> J. Dukelsky<sup>4</sup>, P. Pérez-Fernández<sup>2</sup>, A. Relaño<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Departamento de Física Aplicada, Universidad de Huelva, Huelva, Spain <sup>2</sup>Departamento de Física Atómica, Molecular y Nuclear, Universidad de Sevilla, Sevilla, Spain <sup>3</sup>Institute of Particle and Nuclear Physics, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic <sup>4</sup>Instituto de Estructura de la Materia, CSIC, Madrid, Spain



# **Motivation**

### **Quantum Decoherence**

- Quantum optics.
- Quantum information.
- Quantum computing.



# **Motivation**

### **Quantum Decoherence**

- Quantum optics.
- Quantum information.
- Quantum computing.

# **Quantum Quench**

- Non equilibrium physics.
- Thermalization.
- Universality of the out of equilibrium behaviour of quantum systems.



# Motivation

### **Quantum Decoherence**

- Quantum optics.
- Quantum information.
- Quantum computing.

# **Quantum Quench**

- Non equilibrium physics.
- Thermalization.
- Universality of the out of equilibrium behaviour of quantum systems.

Excited State Quantum Phase Transitions (ESQPT).



- Definition of Quantum Decoherence and Quantum Quench.
- Models to be considered: Lipkin and SU(1, 1).
- Computation of Quantum Decoherence and Quantum Quench.
- Influence of a ESQPT on Quantum Decoherence or Quantum Quench.



### **Definition of Quantum Decoherence**

# **Qualitative definition**

Quantum Decoherente is related with the lost of quantum properties in a given system due to the interaction with the *enviroment*.



### **Definition of Quantum Decoherence**

# **Qualitative definition**

Quantum Decoherente is related with the lost of quantum properties in a given system due to the interaction with the *enviroment*.

# How does Quantum Decoherence work?

The wave function of the system Sand the environment  $\mathcal{E}$  can be factorized if no interaction exits  $|\Psi\rangle = |S_0\rangle \otimes |\epsilon_0\rangle$  The interaction will entangle the eigenfunctions  $|\Psi\rangle = \sum |S_n\rangle \otimes |\epsilon_n\rangle$ 

de Huelva

### Quantum Decoherence in a two-level system

Let us consider a system S with only two possible levels  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  that can interact with the environment  $\mathcal{E}$ . We call this system *qubit*.



### Quantum Decoherence in a two-level system

Let us consider a system S with only two possible levels  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  that can interact with the environment  $\mathcal{E}$ . We call this system *qubit*.

### **Initial state**

If we turn on the interaction at t = 0 the initial wave function can be written as:

$$|\Psi_{\mathcal{SE}}(0)>=(a|0
angle+b|1
angle)\otimes|\epsilon(0)
angle$$



### Quantum Decoherence in a two-level system

Let us consider a system S with only two possible levels  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  that can interact with the environment  $\mathcal{E}$ . We call this system *qubit*.

### **Initial state**

If we turn on the interaction at t = 0 the initial wave function can be written as:

$$|\Psi_{\mathcal{SE}}(0)>=(a|0
angle+b|1
angle)\otimes|\epsilon(0)
angle$$

### The Hamiltonian of System and Enviroment

$$H_{\mathcal{SE}} = I_{\mathcal{S}} \otimes H_{\mathcal{E}} + \ket{0}ra{0} \otimes H_{\lambda_0} + \ket{1}ra{1} \otimes H_{\lambda_1}$$



### The decoherence factor

# The reduced density matrix

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\mathcal{S}} &= & \textit{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} | \Psi_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}}(t) > < \Psi_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}}(t) | \\ &= & |a|^2 | 0 > < 0 | + ab^* r(t) | 0 > < 1 | \\ &+ & a^* b r^*(t) | 1 > < 0 | + |b|^2 | 1 > < 1 \end{split}$$



### The decoherence factor

# The reduced density matrix

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \rho_{\mathcal{S}} & = & Tr_{\mathcal{E}} |\Psi_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}}(t) > < \Psi_{\mathcal{S}\mathcal{E}}(t)| \\ & = & |a|^2 |0 > < 0| + ab^* r(t) |0 > < 1| \\ & + & a^* br^*(t) |1 > < 0| + |b|^2 |1 > < 1 \end{array}$$

### The decoherence factor or fidelity

#### Back

The decoherence factor corresponds to the off-diagonal terms of the reduce density

matrix. It is the overlap of two enviroment states evolving with different Hamiltonians,

$$|r(t)| = \left| \langle \epsilon(0) | \, \mathrm{e}^{-i H_0 t} \mathrm{e}^{-i H_1 t} \, | \epsilon(0) 
ight
angle$$

If the enviroment is in its ground state:

$$|r(t)| = \left| \langle g_0 | e^{-iH_1 t} | g_0 \rangle \right|$$

dad Iva

## **Physical meaning of** r(t)

Let us assume that we prepare a state of the *system* that corresponds to a given superposition of  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$ .

The interaction with the enviroment will tend to destroy the superposition state, i.e. it will try "to make colapse" the system wave function.



# Physical meaning of r(t)

Let us assume that we prepare a state of the *system* that corresponds to a given superposition of  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$ .

The interaction with the enviroment will tend to destroy the superposition state, i.e. it will try "to make colapse" the system wave function.

The vanishing of the decoherence factor means that the system is no longer in a superposition state but either in  $|0\rangle$  or in  $|1\rangle$  with probabilities  $|a|^2$  and  $|b|^2$ respectively.



### **Definition of Quantum Quench**

# **Qualitative definition**

It is an abrupt change in time of one of the system parameters from an initial value  $\lambda$  to a final one  $\lambda'$ .



### **Definition of Quantum Quench**

# **Qualitative definition**

It is an abrupt change in time of one of the system parameters from an initial value  $\lambda$  to a final one  $\lambda'$ .

# How does Quantum Quench work?

```
In a closed system, one prepares
the ground state |0_{\lambda}\rangle of the
Hamiltonian H[\lambda] and then, it is
allowed to evolve with the
Hamiltonian H[\lambda']. It is therefore a
non-equilibrium process.
```



### **Definition of Quantum Quench**

# **Qualitative definition**

It is an abrupt change in time of one of the system parameters from an initial value  $\lambda$  to a final one  $\lambda'$ .

# How does Quantum Quench work?

In a closed system, one prepares the ground state  $|0_{\lambda}\rangle$  of the Hamiltonian  $H[\lambda]$  and then, it is allowed to evolve with the Hamiltonian  $H[\lambda']$ . It is therefore a non-equilibrium process.

# Schematic representation



### Quantum quench: survival probability

Survival probability,  $p_1(t)$ 

$$p_{1}(t) = \left| \langle \psi_{1} | e^{-i\mathcal{H}_{2}t} | \psi_{1} \rangle \right|^{2} = \left| \int \underbrace{|\langle \mathcal{E}_{2} | \psi_{1} \rangle|^{2}}_{\omega_{1}(\mathcal{E}_{2})} e^{-i\mathcal{E}_{2}t} d\mathcal{E}_{2} \right|^{2}$$
$$|\psi_{1}\rangle = \sum_{i} \left| \underbrace{\langle \mathcal{E}_{2i} | \psi_{1} \rangle}_{c_{i}} \right|^{2} |\mathcal{E}_{2i}\rangle$$
$$p_{1}(t) = \sum_{i} |c_{i}|^{4} + 2\sum_{i>j} |c_{i}|^{2} |c_{j}|^{2} \cos[(\mathcal{E}_{2i} - \mathcal{E}_{2i})t]$$

### The physical meaning

The survival probability monitors the evolution of  $|\psi_1\rangle$  under the Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}_2.$ 

dad

lva

### The Hamiltonian for the enviroment in Quantum Decoherence

# For a chain of spin with *N* sites

$$H_{\mathcal{E}} = \alpha \left( \frac{N}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i^z \right) - \frac{4(1-\alpha)}{N} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} S_i^x S_j^x$$

Schwinger representation

$$H_{\mathcal{E}} = \alpha n_t - \frac{1-\alpha}{N} (Q_t)^2$$
, with  $Q_t = s^{\dagger}t + t^{\dagger}s + \omega t^{\dagger}t$ ,

where  $n_t$  is the number of *t* bosons and *N* the total number of bosons.



## The qubit and the coupling Hamiltonian

# The qubit

In our case the *qubit* can be considered as a two level system with the available states:

 $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle.$ 



# The qubit and the coupling Hamiltonian

# The qubit

In our case the  $qubit\, can be considered as a two level system with the available states: <math display="inline">|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle.$ 

# The coupling Hamiltonian

The interaction between the *system* and the *enviroment* is such that for  $|0\rangle$  no interaction exists, while for the state  $|1\rangle$  the interaction depends on the number of *t* bosons in the *enviroment*. That corresponds to the choice  $\lambda_0 = 0$  and  $\lambda_1 = \lambda$  and a coupling Hamiltonian equal to  $H_{Coup} = \lambda n_t$ .



### The qubit and the coupling Hamiltonian

# The qubit

In our case the  $qubit\,can$  be considered as a two level system with the available states:  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle.$ 

# The coupling Hamiltonian

The interaction between the *system* and the *enviroment* is such that for  $|0\rangle$  no interaction exists, while for the state  $|1\rangle$  the interaction depends on the number of *t* bosons in the *enviroment*. That corresponds to the choice  $\lambda_0 = 0$  and  $\lambda_1 = \lambda$  and a coupling Hamiltonian equal to  $H_{Coup} = \lambda n_t$ .

# The effective Hamiltonians

$$H_0 = \alpha n_t - \frac{1-\alpha}{N} (Q_t)^2$$
,  $H_1 = (\alpha + \lambda) n_t - \frac{1-\alpha}{N} (Q_t)^2$ .

See interaction H

dad

# The qubit and the enviroment



# The Hamiltonian for the quench: SU(1,1)

For a set of two-atoms molecules that interacts with an ensemble of phonons

$$m{H}^{(1)} = \omega_0 m{K}_0 + \omega m{b}^\dagger m{b} + rac{\lambda}{\sqrt{M^{(1)}}} igg[m{b}m{K}_+ + m{b}^\dagger m{K}_-igg]$$

where

$$K_{+} = \frac{1}{2}(a^{\dagger})^{2}, \ K_{-} = \frac{1}{2}a^{2}, \ K_{0} = \frac{1}{2}\left(a^{\dagger}a + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

and

$$[K_0, K_{\pm}] = \pm K_{\pm}, \ [K_+, K_-] = -2K_0$$



### The Hamiltonian for the quench: SU(1,1)

For a set of two-atoms molecules that interacts with an ensemble of phonons

$$m{H}^{(1)} = \omega_0 m{K}_0 + \omega m{b}^\dagger m{b} + rac{\lambda}{\sqrt{M^{(1)}}} igg[m{b}m{K}_+ + m{b}^\dagger m{K}_-igg]$$

where

$$K_{+} = \frac{1}{2}(a^{\dagger})^{2}, \ K_{-} = \frac{1}{2}a^{2}, \ K_{0} = \frac{1}{2}\left(a^{\dagger}a + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

and

$$[K_0, K_{\pm}] = \pm K_{\pm}, \ [K_+, K_-] = -2K_0$$



### Excite state Quantum Phase Transitions



An ESQPT is related with the nonanalitic evolution of certain excited state when varying the control parameter. It is similar to a QPT, but for an excited state. In this case there exists a singularity in the density of states for E = 0 and also a region of crossing states.

de Huelva

### How to calculate the decoherence factor or the survival probability

To calculate the decoherence factor or the survival probability we only need to know the whole set of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian  $H_1$  (perturbed Hamiltonian) and the ground state of the Hamiltonian  $H_0$  (unperturbed Hamiltonian).

$$r(t) \equiv p_1(t) = \sum_k |\langle H_0; GS|H_1; k \rangle|^2 \cdot e^{-\imath E_k^{(H_1)}t}$$



# The value of the decoherence factor |r(t)|



The decoherence factor, |r(t)|, for  $\alpha = 0, \omega = 0$  and different values of  $\lambda$ . Note that for  $\lambda = 2$ , |r(t)| decays almost to zero and then oscillates randomly. The number of bosons is N = 10000.



# The value of the decoherence factor |r(t)|



The decoherence factor, |r(t)|, for  $\alpha = 0, \omega = 0$  and different values of  $\lambda$ . Note that for  $\lambda = 2$ , |r(t)| decays almost to zero and then oscillates randomly. The number of bosons is N = 10000.

System plus enviroment goes through a QPT at  $\lambda_* = 4 - 5\alpha$ , for  $\alpha < 4/5$ . The almost vanishing of |r(t)| is enhanced for:

$$\lambda_c(\alpha) = rac{1}{2} \left(4 - 5 lpha 
ight), \quad lpha < rac{4}{5}.$$



### The value of the decoherence factor |r(t)|



The decoherence factor, |r(t)|, for  $\alpha = 0, \omega = 0$  and different values of  $\lambda$ . Note that for  $\lambda = 2$ , |r(t)| decays almost to zero and then oscillates randomly. The number of bosons is N = 10000.

System plus enviroment goes through a QPT at  $\lambda_* = 4 - 5\alpha$ , for  $\alpha < 4/5$ . The almost vanishing of |r(t)| is enhanced for:





# The value of the decoherence factor |r(t)|



If the system-environment coupling drives the environment to the critical energy  $E_c$ of a continuous ESQPT, the Quantum Decoherence induced in the coupled qubit is maximal



"Beauty in Physics: Theory and Experiment" Cocoyoc México May 14 - 18 2012

### **Dependence** of $r_{max}$ on $\lambda$





# $r_{\max}(\lambda_c)$ in the thermodinamical limit



Finite size scaling. The different curves correspond to different values of  $\alpha = 0, 0.4, 0.6, 0.7$ . In all the cases we obtain a power law  $r_{max}(\lambda_c) \sim N^{-\gamma}$  with  $\gamma = 1/4$ .

### The first order ESQPT



No enhancement of the decoherence is observed ( $\omega = 1/\sqrt{2}$ ).

dad

dva

"Beauty in Physics: Theory and Experiment" Cocoyoc México May 14 - 18 2012

# Survival probability





# Conclusions

- We have explored the influence of a ESQPT on the Quantum Decoherence and on the Quantum Quench.
- We have found how the presence of a ESQPT induces the enhancement of the Quantum Decorence and of the Quantum Quench.
- Only second order ESQPT are able to induce the latter behavior.

